Bruce G. Chapman (State Bar No. 164258) bchapman@cblh.com Keith D. Fraser (State Bar No. 216279) kfraser@cblh.com CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 3 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90071 4 Telephone: (213) 787-2500; Facsimile: (213) 687-0498 5 Dianne B. Elderkin (admitted *pro hac vice*) delderkin@akingump.com FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 6 Barbara L. Mullin (admitted pro hac vice) bmullin@akingump.com 7 Steven D. Maslowski (admitted *pro hac vice*) 4 2010 AUG smaslowski@akingump.com 8 Angela Verrecchio (admitted *pro hac vice*) CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA averrecchio@akingump.com Matthew A. Pearson (admitted pro hac vice) mpearson@akingump.com 10 Rubén H. Muñoz (admitted pro hac vice) rmunoz@akingump.com 11 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Two Commerce Square, Suite 4100 12 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7013 Telephone: (215) 965-1200; Facsimile: (215) 965-1210 13 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. and Third-Party Defendants GLOBAL PHARMACUETICAL SUPPLY GROUP, LLC, CENTOCOR BIOLOGICS, LLC and JOM PHARMACEUTICAL 15 SERVICES, INC. 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 5 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION 18 Case No. CV 08-03573 MRP (JEMx) CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, The Honorable Mariana R. Pfaelzer **Plaintiffs** (P<del>ROPOSED)</del> ORDER TO FILE 20 UNDER SEAL DOCUMENTS V. RELATING TO CENTOCOR 21 GÉNENTECH, INC. and CITY ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS COUNTER- DEFENDANT OĒ∯OPŒ. AFFILIATES' REPLIES IN Defendants. SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR 23 SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND RELATED COUNTER AND MOTION FOR CONSTRUCTION THIRD-PARTY ACTIONS. 24 OF CLAIM TERM AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' 25 **CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION** 26 Date: August 17, 2010 27 Time: 11:00 A.M., Ctrm: 12 28

The Court, having considered the Application To File Under Seal Documents Relating to Replies in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc. And Its Counter- Defendant Affiliates' Replies In Support Of Motions For Summary Judgment, and all papers submitted in support therewith, finds that (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests in the subject documents that overcomes the right of public access to the record; (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing these documents; and (3) a substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Centocor's Application To File Under Seal is GRANTED as follows: Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 1.

- Reply in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment of No Willful Infringement (Motion No. 1).
- Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 2. Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Willful Infringement (Motion No. 1)
- Reply in Support of Centocor Ortho BioTech, Inc. and its Cross 3. Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement of Claim 33 (Motion No. 3) and Response to Defendants' Cross Motion for Summary Adjudication.
- Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Facts and 4. Conclusions of Law in Support of Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement of Claim 33 (Motion No. 3) and Response to Defendants' Statement of Facts in Support of Their Cross Motion for Summary Adjudication.
- Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 5. Reply in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply with 35 USC § 112 (Motion No. 4).

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 6. 1 Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 33 2 for Failure to Comply with 35 USC § 112 (Motion No. 4). 3 Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 7. 4 Reply in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment of 5 Anticipation (Motion No. 5). 6 Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 8. Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of 7 Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment of Anticipation (Motion 8 No. 5). 9 Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 9. 10 Reply in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment That Claim 33 is Invalid for Failure to Disclose the Best Mode (Motion No. 6). 11 Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' 12 10. Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of 13 Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment That Claim 33 is Invalid for Failure to Disclose the Best Mode (Motion No. 6). 14 15 Second Declaration Of Matthew A. Pearson In Support Of Centocor 11. Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Replies To 16 Their Motions For Summary Judgment, Motion For Construction Of 17 Claim Term "Immuloglobulin" and Response To Defendants' Cross Motion For Summary Adjudication. 18 Exhibit 47 to the Second Declaration of Matthew Pearson: 19 12. Defendants' deposition exhibit DX140 showing Cabilly/Genentech 20 Royalty Payments. 21 Exhibit 48 to the Second Declaration of Matthew Pearson: Excerpts 13. 22 from the April 30, 2010 deposition of Sean Johnston, Ph.D., Esq. 23 Exhibit 49 to the Second Declaration of Matthew Pearson: Order 14. Denying Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment. 24 25 Exhibit 51 to the Second Declaration of Matthew Pearson: April 30, 15. 2010 Supplemental and Amended Responses to Genentech 26 Interrogatories (Nos. 12-15, 18 & 20). 27

28

Exhibit 52 to the Second Declaration of Matthew Pearson: 16. Genentech's Deposition Exhibit 62, a May 11, 2006 letter from Kenneth J. Dow to Celltech Therapeutics, Ltd. No persons other than the Court and counsel of record are authorized to inspect the above-listed records filed under seal. IT IS SO ORDERED United States District Judge Prepared and submitted by: Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP By: for Plaintiff CENTOCOR Third-Party Defendants GLOBAL PHARMACUETICAL SUPPLY GROUP, LLC, CENTOCOR BIOLOGICS, LLC and JOM PHARMACEUTICAL SERVICES, INC